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# Problem

What is the proposal trying to solve? Why does the problem matter? What are the goals? Who/what is affected? This is your executive summary; keep it short, elaborate below.

# Out-of-Scope

What is outside the scope of what the proposal is trying to solve?

When/how will these out-of-scope requirements become relevant again?

# Solution

This is the meat of the document, where you explain your proposal. If you have multiple alternatives, be sure to use sub-sections for better separation of the idea, and list pros/cons to each approach. If there are alternatives that you have eliminated, you should also list those here, and explain why you believe your chosen approach is superior. Make sure you’ve thought through and addressed the following sections:

* Options Considered
* Performance Implications
* Dependencies
* Best Practices
* Platforms and Environments
* Engineering Impact

If a section is not relevant to your specific proposal, please explain why, e.g. your RFC addresses a convention or process, not an API.

## Options Considered Example

**Option 1**

**Option N**

Description

Very valid option

Some questionable stuff

Pros & Cons

Much wow

So cereal

Such simple

So science

Much efficient

Many scary

Very concern

Such huge

Estimated Cost

**LOW**

/

**MEDIUM**

**HIGH**

# FAQ

Answers to questions you’ve commonly been asked after requesting comments for this proposal.   
**Example**

Q: **How do you address the performance implications of this library?**

A: We mainly use premature optimisation techniques and commit directly to prod. Other than that - only a set of industry-standard ScrumBut approaches.

# Outcome

A short summary of what is the agreed actions to take next.

## Example

**Accepted Date**: 01 Jan 1970

The proposal is accepted with the following adjustments:

* Adjustment 1
* …
* Adjustment N The next steps are:
* Step 1
* … • Step N

## Errata

What are minor adjustments that had to be made to the proposal since it was reviewed and accepted?

### Example

**Date**: 01 Jan 1970

**Reason**: because we can

**Change**: Adjustment 2 did not take into account Caveat 1

# Resources

A list of links to resources that might be helpful to provide more context.